arXiv:math/0506202v6 [math.AP] 13 Sep 2006

A simplification of the proof of the existence of the extremal function for the Moser-Trudinger inequality

Yuxiang Li
Math. Group, The abdus salam ICTP,Trieste 34100, Italy
E-mail address: liy@ictp.trieste.it

1 Introduction:

In [L1] and [L2], the author has proved the existence of the extremal function for the Moser-Trudinger inequality on a compact Riemannian manifold. In [L2], one of the key proposition is the following

Proposition 1.1 *Let (M, g) be a closed compact Riemannian manifold, and*

$$F(u) = \int_M e^{\alpha_n |u|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}.$$

If the sup of the F on

$$\mathcal{H} = \{u : \int_M |\nabla u|^n dV_g = 1, \ \int_M u dV_g = 0\},$$

can not be attained, then

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}} F(u) \leq |M| + \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n} e^{\alpha_n S_p + 1 + 1/2 + \cdots + 1/(n-1)}$$

for some $p \in M$.

Here $\alpha_n = n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$, ω_{n-1} is the volume of the unit sphere on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and

$$S_p = \lim_{x \to p} (G + \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \log dist(p, x)^n).$$

In section 5 of [L2], the author proved the above proposition with capacity estimate. Since we had no idea on the speed of convergence of the blow up sequence, we had to choose a sequence of Green functions to get the estimate. So the proof seemed too complicated to be understood. In this short paper, we will simplify the proof. I hope the new proof is easier to be understood .

The proof in this paper depends on the following theorem belong to Carleson and Chang:

Theorem A.1([C-C]) *Let B be the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Assume u_k be a sequence in $H_0^{1,n}(B)$ with $\int_B |\nabla u_k|^n = 1$. If $|\nabla u_k|^n dx \rightharpoonup \delta_0$, then*

$$\limsup_{k\to+\infty}\int_B e^{\alpha_n |u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} \leq |B|(1+e^{1+1/2+\cdots+1/(n-1)}).$$

Remark: Since we do not get any new results, we will not publish this paper.

2 Review of results in section 4 of [L2]

Let $u_k \in H_0^{1,n}(M)$ which satisfies $\int_M u_k = 0$, $\int_M |\nabla u_k|^n dV_g = 1$ and

$$\int_M e^{\beta_k |u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dV_g = \sup_{\int_M |\nabla v|^n dV_g = 1, \int_M v = 0} \int_M e^{\beta_k |v|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dV_g,$$

where $\{\beta_k\}$ is an increasing sequence which converges to α_n. Then we have

$$-div|\nabla u_k|^{n-2}\nabla u_k = \frac{|u_k|^{\frac{1}{n-1}} sign(u_k)}{\lambda_k} e^{\beta_k |u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} - \gamma_k,$$

where $sign(u_k)$ is the sign of u_k. It is obvious that

$$\lambda_k = \int_M |u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} e^{\beta_k |u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dV_g,\ \gamma_k = \int_M \frac{|u_k|^{\frac{1}{n-1}} sign(u_k)}{\lambda_k} e^{\beta_k |u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dV_g,$$

and

$$\sup_{\int_M |\nabla u|^n dV_g = 1, \int_M u dV_g = 0} \int_M e^{\alpha_n |u|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dV_g = \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_M e^{\beta_k |u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dV_g.$$

Let $c_k = \max_{x\in M} |u_k(x)| = \max_{x\in M} u_k(x)$, and $x_k \to p$. Let $\{e_i(x)\}$ an orthogonal basis of TM near x_0 and $exp_x : T_xM \to M$ be the exponential mapping. Let B_r be the ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The smooth mapping $E : B_\delta(x_0) \times B_r \to M$ is define to be

$$E(x,y) = exp_x(y^i e_i(x)).$$

We set

$$g_{ij}(x,y) = \langle (exp_x)_* \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, (exp_x)_* \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \rangle_{E(x,y)}.$$

It is well-known that, we can find a constant a, s.t.

$$\|g(x,y) - I\|_{C^0(B_\delta \times B_r)} \leq a|y|^2$$

when δ and r are sufficiently small.

Let $r_k^n = \frac{\lambda_k}{c_k^{\frac{n}{n-1}} e^{\beta_k c_k^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}}$, then we have $r_k \to 0$ and

$$\frac{n}{n-1}\beta_k c_k^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(u_k(E(x_k, r_k x)) - c_k) \to -n\log(1 + c_n r^{\frac{n}{n-1}})$$

on any $B_L(0)$, where $c_n = (\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n})^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$. Note that $u_k(E(x_k, r_k x))$ is the function defined on $B_{\frac{r}{r_k}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Moreover, we can get $c_k^{\frac{1}{n-1}} u_k \rightharpoonup G$ in $H^{1,q}(M)$ for any $q < n$, and

$$c_k^{\frac{1}{n-1}} u_k \to G \tag{2.1}$$

C^1 smoothly on any $M \setminus B_\delta(p)$, where G is the Green function defined by

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_n G = \delta_p - \frac{1}{\mu(M)} \\ \int_M G = 0. \end{cases}$$

We can prove that

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \int_M e^{\beta_k |u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dV_g = \mu(M) + \lim_{L\to+\infty} \lim_{k\to+\infty} \int_{B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} e^{\beta_k |u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dV_g. \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, on any domain Ω which contains p, we have

$$\lim_{L\to+\infty} \lim_{k\to+\infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} e^{\alpha_k u_k^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} = |\Omega|. \tag{2.3}$$

3 The proof of Proposition 1.1

Let δ and r be sufficiently small s.t.

$$|g_{ij}(x_k, y) - \delta_{ij}| \le A|y|^2$$

for some constant A which is independent of k. Then, we can find a constant a, s.t.

$$|\nabla_0 \varphi|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial y^i}|^2 \le (1 + a|y|^2)|\nabla \varphi|^2$$

for any $\varphi \in C^\infty$.

Let $b_k = \sup_{\partial B_\delta} u_k$, and $u_k' = (u_k - b_k)^+$. We set $f(y) = \frac{|g(x_k,y)|^{\frac{1}{2n}}}{\sqrt{1+a|y|^2}}$, where a is chosen suitably large so that $f \le 1$ on $B_\delta(0)$. We have

$$|\nabla_0 f u_k'| \le |\nabla u_k'||g|^{\frac{1}{2n}} + |\nabla_0 f||u_k'|.$$

Then

$$|\nabla_0 f u_k'|^n \le |\nabla u_k'|^n \sqrt{|g|} + c \sum_{k=1}^n |\nabla u_k'|^{n-k} |u_k'|^k.$$

Here, c is a constant.

Then

$$\int_{B_\delta(x_k)} |\nabla_0 f u_k'|^n dx \le 1 - \int_{M \setminus B_\delta(x_k)} |\nabla u_k'|^n dV_g + \frac{\rho(\delta)}{c_k^{\frac{n}{n-1}}},$$

where

$$\rho(\delta) = 2c\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{B_{2\delta}(p)}|\nabla G|^k|G|^{n-k}dV_g.$$

Given $\delta > 0$, we set $x_\delta \in \partial B_\delta$, s.t. $G(x_\delta) = \sup\limits_{x\in B_\delta} G(x)$. Let

$$G(x_\delta) = -\frac{1}{\alpha_n}\log\delta^n + S_p + b_\delta,$$

and

$$\Omega_\delta = \{x : G(x) \geq G_\delta\}.$$

Then $b_\delta \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{M\setminus B_\delta(x_k)} c_k^{\frac{n}{n-1}}|\nabla u_k'|^n dV_g &= \int_{M\setminus B_\delta(p)}|\nabla G|^n dV_g \\
&= \int_{\Omega_\delta}|\nabla G|^n dV_g \\
&= \int_{\Omega_\delta^c}\frac{G}{\mu(M)}dV_g + G_\delta\int_{\partial\Omega_\delta}|\nabla G|^{n-2}\frac{\partial G}{\partial n} \\
&= -2\int_{\Omega_\delta}\frac{G}{\mu(M)}dV_g + G_\delta \\
&= O(\delta^n\log\delta) + G_\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

If we set $\tau_k = \int_{B_\delta}|\nabla_0 f u_k'|^n dx$, then by Theorem A.1., we have

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{B_\delta} e^{\frac{\beta_k}{\tau_k^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}|fu_k'|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}dx \leq \delta^n\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n}(1 + e^{1+1/2+\cdots+1/(n-1)}).$$

On one hand, for any $\varrho < \delta$,

$$\lim_{L\to+\infty}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{B_\varrho\setminus B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} e^{\frac{\beta_k}{\tau_k^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}|fu_k'|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} \leq O(\frac{1}{\delta^n})\lim_{L\to+\infty}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{B_\varrho\setminus B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} e^{\beta_k|u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}dV_g = o_\varrho(1).$$

On the other hand, for a fixed ϱ,

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{B_{\delta\setminus\varrho}} e^{\frac{\beta_k}{\tau_k^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}|fu_k'|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}dx \to |B_\delta\setminus B_\varrho|.$$

Letting $\varrho \to 0$, we get

$$\lim_{L\to+\infty}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{B_\delta\setminus B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} e^{\frac{\beta_k}{\tau_k^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}|fu_k'|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} = |B_\delta|.$$

Hence we get the following identity:

$$\lim_{L\to+\infty}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{B_{Lr_k}(x_k)} e^{\frac{\beta_k}{\tau_k^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}|fu_k'|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} \le \delta^n\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n}e^{1+1/2+\cdots+1/(n-1)}.$$

If we fix an L, then on $B_{Lr_k}(x_k)$, $f=1+O(e^{-\frac{\alpha_n}{2}c_k^{\frac{n}{n-1}}})$, and then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_k|u_k'|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} &= \frac{\tau_k^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{f^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}\frac{\beta_k}{\tau_k^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}|fu_k'|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}\\ &\le \frac{\beta_k}{\tau_k^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}|fu_k'|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}\left(1-\frac{\frac{1}{n-1}G(x_\delta)-\epsilon(\delta,k)}{c_k^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}\right)\\ &= \frac{\beta_k}{\tau_k^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}|fu_k'|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}-\frac{\beta_k}{n-1}\left(\frac{u_k}{c_k}\right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}G(x_\delta)+\epsilon(\delta,k)\left|\frac{u_k}{c_k}\right|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

where $\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\epsilon(\delta,k)=0$.

Furthermore, we have

$$\beta_k|u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}=\beta_k|u_k'+b_k|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}\le\beta_k|u_k'|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}+\beta_k\frac{n}{n-1}b_ku_k'+O\left(\frac{1}{c_k^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}\right).$$

It is easy to be deduced from (2.1) that

$$-\frac{\beta_k}{n-1}\left(\frac{u_k(x)}{c_k}\right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}G(x_\delta)+\beta_k\frac{n}{n-1}b_ku_k'(x)\le-\log\delta^n+\alpha_nS_p+o_k(1),$$

for any $x\in B_{Lr_k}(x_k)$ and sufficiently large k. Hence we get

$$\lim_{L\to+\infty}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{B_{Lr_k}} e^{\beta_k|u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}\le\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n}e^{1+1/2+\cdots+1/(n-1)+\alpha_nS_p}.$$

So, we can imply Proposition 1.1 from (2.2).

References

[C-C] L. Carleson and S. Y. A. Chang: *on the existence of an extremal function for an inequality of J.Moser.* Bull. Sc. Math., **110**:113-127, 1986.

[L1] Y. Li: *Moser-Trudinger inequality on compact Riemannian manifolds of dimension two,* J. Partial Differential Equations **14**:163–192, 2001.

[L2] Y. Li: *The existence of the extremal function of Moser-Trudinger inequality on compact Riemannian manifolds.* To appear in Sci. China Ser. A.**48**: 618-648, 2005.